

# AFFINE ANALOG OF THE PROPER BASE CHANGE THEOREM

BY

OFER GABBER

*I.H.E.S., 35 route de Chartres, 91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France*

ABSTRACT

We prove a rigidity property for the étale cohomology with torsion coefficients of affine Hensel pairs.

## 0. Introduction

Recall that if  $I$  is an ideal in a commutative ring  $A$  then the pair  $(A, I)$  is called henselian iff the following equivalent conditions are satisfied (see [8], chap. XI, §2):

- (a)  $I \subset \text{Rad}(A)$  (the Jacobson radical of  $A$ ), and for every two relatively prime monic polynomials  $\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in \bar{A}[t]$  ( $\bar{A} = A/I$ ) and monic lifting  $f \in A[t]$  of  $\bar{g}\bar{h}$ , there exist monic liftings  $g, h \in A[t]$  s.t.  $f = gh$ .
- (b) If  $B$  is a finite  $A$ -algebra, then  $\text{Idem}(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Idem}(B/IB)$  (where  $\text{Idem}(B) =$  the Boolean algebra of idempotent elements of  $B$ ).
- (c) If  $A'$  is an étale  $A$ -algebra and  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_{A \text{ alg}}(A', A/I)$ , then  $\exists_1 \bar{\sigma} \in \text{Hom}_{A \text{ alg}}(A', A)$  which lifts  $\sigma$ .

The henselization of any pair  $(A, I)$  is (cf. *ibid.*) the pair (over  $(A, I)$ )  $(\bar{A}, \bar{I}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\varinjlim_{\mathcal{N}} A', \varinjlim_{\mathcal{N}} \text{Ker}(\sigma))$ , where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the filtered category of pairs  $(A', \sigma)$  as in (c).\*

---

\*  $\mathcal{N}$  is filtered (in the sense of [2, I, 2.7]) since, in the category of  $A$ -algebras, finite direct limits preserve étaleness.

Received June 14, 1981 and in final revised form September 1, 1993

**THEOREM 1:** *If  $(A, I)$  is a henselian pair,  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ ,  $X_0 = \text{Spec}(A/I)$ , and  $i_{\text{et}} : X_{0 \text{ et}} \rightarrow X_{\text{et}}$  the morphism of étale sites, then for every torsion abelian sheaf  $F$  on  $X_{\text{et}}$  and  $\forall q \geq 0$ , the restriction map*

$$H^q(X_{\text{et}}, F) \xrightarrow{\rho_q^F} H^q(X_{0 \text{ et}}, i_{\text{et}}^* F)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

This theorem is conjectured in ([2], XII, Remarks 6.13). We shall prove it by induction on  $q$ , and reduce by standard arguments to Lemma 1 below [which is essentially a special case of Theorem 1]. Lemma 1 is proved by induction on  $q$  using Quillen induction, the case  $q = 1$  being true by Remark 1 below. Unlike the case of henselian local rings, the statement does not hold for non-torsion coefficients (take  $(A, I) =$  the henselization of  $(\mathbb{C}[x, y], (y^2 - x^2 - x^3))$ ,  $q = 1$ ,  $F = \mathbb{Z}$ .) The result is used for other comparison theorems, see Fujiwara [4].

**1. Constant possibly non-abelian coefficients ( $q = 0, 1$ )**

For a set  $S$ , let  $\mathbf{S}$  denote the constant sheaf defined by  $S$  on a site under consideration. Suppose  $(A, I)$  is a henselian pair.

*Remark 1.1:* (i) If  $F$  is a constant sheaf of sets on  $X_{\text{et}}$ , then  $\Gamma(X, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X_0, i^* F)$ .

(ii) If  $G$  is a finite group, then  $\check{H}_{\text{et}}^1(X, \mathbf{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{H}_{\text{et}}^1(X_0, \mathbf{G})$ .

*Proof:* (i) follows from condition (b) for  $B = A$  which means that any closed and open subset  $U \subset X_0$  extends uniquely to a closed and open subset of  $X$ .

(ii) is the conclusion for isomorphism classes of

**LEMMA 1.1:** *The functor*

$$(\mathbf{G}\text{-torsors on } \text{Spec}(A)_{\text{et}}) \xrightarrow{T} (\mathbf{G}\text{-torsors on } \text{Spec}(A/I)_{\text{et}})$$

*is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof:*  $T$  is fully faithful: If  $\alpha, \beta$  are  $\mathbf{G}$ -torsors on  $\text{Spec}(A)_{\text{et}}$ , then  $\mathbf{Isom}(\alpha, \beta)$  is represented by a finite étale morphism  $\text{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ , and we apply (c). [One can also use (b) for the idempotents defining the graph of an isomorphism.]

$T$  is essentially surjective: This is shown using the methods of [3].\*

---

\* A similar argument shows that (finite étale  $X$  schemes)  $\rightarrow$  (finite étale  $X_0$  schemes) is an equivalence of categories, which answers a question of (EGA IV, 18.5.16) in the affine case.

*Definition:* If  $P$  is a finitely generated projective module over a (commutative) ring  $K$ , then by an  $n$ -rigidification ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ) of  $P$  we mean a pair  $(p, \zeta)$ ,  $p$  a projector on  $K^n$ ,  $\zeta: P \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Im}(p)$ .

If  $Z$  is a scheme and  $F$  is a  $\mathbf{G}$ -torsor on  $Z_{\text{et}}$ , then  $F$  is the sheaf of sections of a finite étale  $Z$  scheme  $Z'$ . If  $Z$  is affine, then  $\mathcal{O}(Z')$  has an  $n$ -rigidification as an  $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ -module for all  $n \gg 0$ . Consider the functor  $F : (\text{Sch}/Z) \rightarrow (\text{sets})$ , associating to every  $Z$ -scheme  $W$  the set of isomorphism classes of  $n$ -rigidified  $\mathbf{G}$ -torsors on  $W_{\text{et}}$ .

LEMMA  $\alpha$  (compare [3, lemma in §III2]): (i)  $F$  is represented by an affine  $Z$  scheme  $\Phi$  of finite presentation.

(ii)  $\Phi \rightarrow Z$  is smooth. (In fact,  $\Phi \rightarrow (\text{scheme of projectors on } \mathcal{O}^n)$  is smooth.)

*Proof:* For (i), one considers relative representability of  $F \rightarrow (\text{projectors on } \mathcal{O}^n)$ . (If  $W$  is a  $Z$  scheme and  $p$  is a projector on  $\mathcal{O}_W^n$ , then the problem of endowing  $\text{Im}(p)$  with an algebra structure and  $G$  action is represented by an affine f.p. morphism  $W' \rightarrow W$ . The étaleness condition on the resulting  $\mathcal{O}_{W'}$ -algebra is represented by an affine open immersion  $\Omega \hookrightarrow W'$  (defined locally by inverting a discriminant); and on  $\Omega$  the condition that the  $G$  action gives a torsor is closed and open.)

For (ii), it is enough (by the definition [6], 17.3) to check that  $F$  is formally smooth, i.e., that  $F(B) \rightarrow F(B/I)$  whenever  $\text{Spec}(B)$  is a  $Z$  scheme and  $I \subset B$  is a nilpotent ideal.

Indeed, using [6] (18.1.2) a  $G$ -torsor on  $\text{Spec}(B/I)$  lifts to a  $G$ -torsor on  $\text{Spec}(B)$ , and one checks (using only  $I \subset \text{Rad}(B)$ ) that any rigidification lifts.

To show that  $T$  is essentially surjective, we notice that any  $G$  torsor on  $\text{Spec}(A/I)$  comes for  $n \gg 0$  from an element of  $\Phi(A/I)$ , and  $\Phi(A) \rightarrow \Phi(A/I)$  by [3, theorem on page 568]. ■

*Remark 1.2:* If  $B$  is a finite  $A$ -algebra, then  $(B, IB)$  is a henselian pair (check (b)) [8, IX, Prop. 2(i)], and hence Lemma 1.1 holds for  $(\text{Spec}(B), \text{Spec}(B/I))$ .

**2. Reduction of Theorem 1 to Lemma 1**

We first recall some properties of henselization. Let  $P$  be the category of pairs and  $P_h \hookrightarrow_j P$  the strictly full subcategory of henselian pairs. One checks, e.g., using condition (a), that a filtered direct limit of henselian pairs is henselian [8, XI, prop. 2(ii)], so it serves as a direct limit in  $P_h$  (as well as in  $P$ ).

LEMMA 2.1: If  $(A, I) = \varinjlim(A_i, I_i)$  is a filtered direct limit (in  $P$ ), then the henselizations satisfy  $\varinjlim(\tilde{A}_i, \tilde{I}_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\tilde{A}, \tilde{I})$ .

Proof: By [8, XI, def. 4, th. 2], the henselization functor sends  $P$  into  $P_h$  and it is a left adjoint to  $j$ . As any left adjoint, it preserves direct limits. (Lemma 2.1 could also be shown from the construction and results of [6]IV.)

LEMMA 2.2: (cf. [8, p. 125]) If  $A$  is a commutative noetherian ring and  $I \subset A$  an ideal, then the henselization  $\tilde{A}$  of  $A$  w.r.t.  $I$  is noetherian.

Proof: Consider a  $(A', \sigma) \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $A'$  is a formally étale  $A$ -algebra (in the sense of [6, IV, 17.1]), we have that  $(\forall n \in \mathbf{N}^+) \sigma$  lifts uniquely to  $\sigma_n \in \text{Hom}_{A \text{ alg}}(A', A/I^n)$ , and that the composition

$$A' \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} A/I^n \xrightarrow{t_n} A'/\ker(\sigma)^n$$

is the canonical projection. This and  $\sigma_n(\ker(\sigma)^n) = 0$  give that  $t_n$  is an isomorphism. So  $\varinjlim t_n : \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{A}'$ . Consider the flat composed homomorphism  $A' \rightarrow \hat{A}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{A}$ . Taking the direct limit over  $\mathcal{N}$  gives a homomorphism  $\tilde{A} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \hat{A}$ , which is still flat by [6, 0<sub>I</sub>, (6.2.3)]. Any maximal ideal  $m \subset \tilde{A}$  is contracted from a maximal ideal of  $\hat{A}$  since  $m \supset \text{Rad}(\tilde{A}) \supset \tilde{I}$  and  $A/I \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{A}/\tilde{I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{A}/\hat{I}$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is faithfully flat. But  $\hat{A}$  is noetherian, so by [6, 0<sub>I</sub>, (6.5.2)]  $\tilde{A}$  is noetherian.

Proof of Theorem 1 by Induction: Let  $q \geq 0$  be given and assume that  $\rho_{q-1}^F$  is bijective for every  $F$  in the category  $\mathcal{C}$  of torsion abelian sheaves on  $X_{\text{et}}$ .

CLAIM 1:  $\forall F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}), \rho_q^F$  is injective.

Proof: If  $q = 0$ , the injectivity follows from the fact that  $X$  is the only open neighbourhood of  $X_0$  in  $X$ , which is equivalent to  $I \subset \text{Rad}(A)$ . We now assume  $q > 0$ . Embed  $F$  in an injective object  $G$  of the category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then we get a morphism of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \rightarrow & H^{q-1}(X, G/F) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X, F) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X, G) \rightarrow \dots \\
 (*) & & \downarrow \rho_{q-1}^{G/F} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \rightarrow & H^{q-1}(X_0, G/F) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X_0, F) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X_0, G) \rightarrow \dots
 \end{array}$$

We claim that  $H^q(X_{\text{et}}, G) = 0$ . Indeed  $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ , the sheaf  $\text{Ann}_G(n) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}/(n), G)$  is an injective  $\mathbf{Z}/(n)$  Module, so  $H^q(X, \text{Ann}_G(n)) = 0$  (notice

that cohomology is independent of the base Ring ([2], V, cor. 3.5)), and  $G = \varinjlim \text{Ann}_G(n)$  (over  $\mathbf{N}_+$  ordered by divisibility) implies by ([2], VII, prop. 3.3) that  $H^q(X_{\text{et}}, G) \xleftarrow{\sim} \varinjlim H^q(X_{\text{et}}, \text{Ann}_G(n))$ .

Now from (\*) and the induction hypothesis one deduces the injectivity of  $\rho_q^F$ .

CLAIM 2:  $\rho_q^F$  is bijective  $\forall F \in \text{Ob}(C)$ .

Proof: (i) By ([2], IX, cor. 2.7.2)  $F$  is a filtered direct limit of constructible abelian sheaves  $F_\alpha$ . One can replace the  $F_\alpha$ 's by constructible torsion sheaves, because  $\forall_\alpha$  the canonical homomorphism  $F_\alpha \rightarrow F$  factorizes through  $F_\alpha/nF_\alpha$  for some  $n > 0$ . By ([2], VII, prop. 3.3)  $\varinjlim_\alpha H^q(X_{\text{et}}, F_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(X_{\text{et}}, F)$ , and similarly on  $X_0$ . Thus Claim 2 is reduced to case  $F$  is constructible.

(ii)\* Using (2.1) we express  $(A, I) (\xrightarrow{\sim} (\tilde{A}, \tilde{I}))$  as  $\varinjlim (\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{I}_\alpha)$ , where the  $A_\alpha$  are the finitely generated subrings of  $A$  and  $(\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{I}_\alpha)$  is the henselization of  $(A_\alpha, I \cap A_\alpha)$ .  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$  is the inverse limit of the  $X_\alpha := \text{Spec}(\tilde{A}_\alpha)$ , and by ([2], IX, cor. 2.7.4)  $F$  is isomorphic to the pull-back of a constructible torsion sheaf  $F_\alpha$  on  $X_{\alpha \text{ et}}$  for some  $\alpha$ . We may assume that  $\alpha$  is an initial object of the index category  $J$ . (Replace  $J$  by  $\alpha \setminus J$ .)

Then if  $\forall \beta \in \text{Ob}J$  we let  $F_\beta$  denote the pull-back of  $F_\alpha$  by  $X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ , we have, by ([2], VII, Cor. 5.8), that

$$\varinjlim_\beta H^q(X_{\beta \text{ et}}, F_\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(X, F).$$

(Similarly for  $X_0$ .) This enables one to reduce Claim 2 to the case where, in addition,  $A$  is noetherian.

(iii) If  $F$  embeds in a torsion sheaf  $G$ , then by applying the five lemma to the morphism of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & H^{q-1}(X, G/F) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^q(X, F) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X, G) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X, G/F) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & H^{q-1}(X_0, G/F) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^q(X_0, F) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X_0, G) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X_0, G/F) \end{array}$$

and using Claim 1 and the induction hypothesis, we reduce Claim 2 to showing the bijectivity of  $\rho_q^G$ .

(iv)  $\forall x \in \text{Spec}(A)$ , let  $x$  also denote the scheme  $\text{Spec}(k(x))$ . Choose an algebraic closure  $\overline{k(x)}$  of  $k(x)$ , and let  $\bar{x} = \text{Spec}(\overline{k(x)})$ . We have a morphism

---

\* Cf. the proof of ([2], XII, lemma 8.1).

$\bar{x} \rightarrow X.i_{\bar{x}}$  factorizes through the normalization  $Z$  of  $\{\bar{x}\}$  (with the integral scheme structure) in  $k(\bar{x})$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & i_{\bar{x}} & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \bar{x} & \xrightarrow{j} Z \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

( $j$  is isomorphic to the inclusion of the generic point).

(v) Using the fact that  $|X|$  is noetherian and  $F$  is constructible, we can find finitely many points  $x_{\alpha} \in X$  ( $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$ ) s.t.  $F \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} i_{x_{\alpha}*} i_{x_{\alpha}}^* F$  is injective, where  $(\forall_{\alpha}) i_{x_{\alpha}} = i_{\bar{x}_{\alpha}}$  ([2], IX, prop. 2.14(ii)). Then (iii) shows that Claim 2 is reduced to

**CLAIM 3:** *If  $x \in X$  and  $M$  is a torsion abelian group and  $F = i_{x*} M$ , then  $\rho_q^F$  is bijective.*

*Proof of Claim 3:* We recall that if  $Y$  is a normal integral scheme with generic point  $\eta \rightarrow Y$ , then the strictly local rings of  $Y$  are domains ([8], VIII, th. 3(2)), and this implies ([2], IX, lemma 2.14.1)  $\phi_* \mathbf{S} \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbf{S}$  for any set  $S$ . In particular, with the notations of (iv), we get  $j_* \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}$  so  $F = \pi_* j_* \mathbf{M} = \pi_* \mathbf{M}$ . Define  $Z_0 = Z \times_X X_0$  and let  $\pi_0: Z_0 \rightarrow X_0$  and  $\bar{i}: Z_0 \rightarrow Z$  be the projections. Since  $\pi$  is an integral morphism, we have ([2], VIII, cor. 5.6)  $R^q \pi_* = 0$  for  $q > 0$  and  $\pi_*$  commutes with base changes, so  $i^* \pi_* \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_{0*} \bar{i}^* \mathbf{M}$  and

$$H^q(X_{0\text{ et}}, i^* F) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q_{\text{et}}(X_0, \pi_0, \mathbf{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\text{et}}(Z_0, \mathbf{M}).$$

So Claim 3 is equivalent to

$$(**) \quad H^q_{\text{et}}(Z, \mathbf{M}) \xrightarrow[\rho]{} H^q_{\text{et}}(Z_0, \mathbf{M}).$$

If  $q = 0$  then by (b) (extended to integral algebras by a limit argument)  $Z_0$  is connected, so  $\rho$  is  $M \xrightarrow{\text{id}} M$ ; therefore  $(**)$  holds. Suppose  $q > 0$ . Then by  $j_* M = \mathbf{M}$  and  $R^p j_* = 0$  for  $p > 0$  (as  $j_*$  is exact), we get

$$H^q_{\text{et}}(Z, \mathbf{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q_{\text{et}}(\{\bar{x}\}, \mathbf{M}) = 0,$$

so  $(**)$  reduces to a case of

**LEMMA 1:** *If  $A$  is a normal domain having an algebraically closed field of fractions, then for every closed subscheme  $Z \subset \text{Spec}(A)$  and a torsion abelian group  $M$  we have*

$$H^q_{\text{et}}(Z, \mathbf{M}) = 0 \quad \forall q > 0.$$

(For  $q = 1$  we can replace “torsion abelian group” by “locally finite group”.)

**3. Proof of Lemma 1**

Let  $Y$  be the spectrum of  $\text{Idem}(Z)$ , as a topological space. We have a continuous map  $|Z| \xrightarrow{f} Y$ , defined by  $f^{-1}(U_e) = U_e \forall e \in \text{Idem}(Z)$ .

The fibers of  $f$  are the connected components of  $Z$  (this is a general fact for topological spaces on which  $H^0$  commutes with filtered  $\varinjlim$ , e.g., coherent spaces (in the sense of toposes [2], VI) or compact Hausdorff spaces).

We claim that for any abelian sheaf  $F$  on  $Z_{\text{et}}$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad H^q(Z_{\text{et}}, F) \xrightarrow{\mu} \prod_{y \in Y} H^q(f^{-1}(y)_{\text{et}}, F) \text{ is injective.}$$

*Proof of 3.1:* We first remark that each  $f^{-1}(y)$  has a unique closed subscheme structure, and furthermore it is the  $\varprojlim$  of its closed and open neighbourhoods  $U_i$ . Hence by ([2], VII, cor. 5.8)

$$H^q(f^{-1}(y)_{\text{et}}, F) \xleftarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_i H^1(U_{i \text{ et}}, F).$$

Hence if  $\xi \in \text{Ker}(\mu)$  then  $\forall_y \xi$  vanishes on some closed and open neighbourhood  $U_y$  of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Finitely many such  $U_i = U_{y_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) suffice to cover  $Z$ . Then  $Z$  is the disjoint union of the open subschemes  $U'_i = U_i - \bigcup_{j < i} U_j$ , so from  $\xi|_{U'_i} = 0 \forall_i$  we get  $\xi = 0$ .

(The proof can be reformulated using the Leray spectral sequence for the morphism of sites  $Z_{\text{et}} \rightarrow Y$ .)

LEMMA 2: If  $Z = V(I)$  of Lemma 1 is connected, then

$$(A', I') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ((1 + I)^{-1}A, (1 + I)^{-1}I)$$

is a henselian pair.

*Proof:* We check condition (a). The localization by  $1 + I$  ensures  $I' \subset \text{Rad}(A')$ . Now if  $f, \bar{g}, \bar{h}$  are as in the statement of (a), then as  $A'$  is also a normal domain with an algebraically closed field of fractions,  $f$  factorizes as  $\prod_{\alpha=1}^n (t - \lambda_\alpha)$  ( $\lambda_\alpha \in A'$ ).

So if  $n > 0$  then  $\bar{g}(\bar{\lambda}_1)\bar{h}(\bar{\lambda}_1) = \overline{f(\lambda_1)} = 0$ , but  $\bar{g}(\bar{\lambda}_1)\bar{A} + \bar{h}(\bar{\lambda}_1)\bar{A} = (1)$ , so locally one of  $\bar{g}(\bar{\lambda}_1), \bar{h}(\bar{\lambda}_1)$  is a unit and the other is zero. By connectedness of

$\text{Spec}(A/I)$  this holds globally. Continuing, one obtains that for some partition  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\} = \Phi_1 \amalg \Phi_2$  we have

$$\bar{g} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_1} (t - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha), \quad \bar{h} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_2} (t - \bar{\lambda}_\alpha).$$

Define  $g, h$  by analogous formulas without bar.

*Proof of Lemma 1 for  $q = 1$ :* (3.1) is used to reduce to the case that  $A/I$  is connected, then Lemma 2 reduces to the case that  $(A, I)$  is henselian, in which we use Remark 1(ii) and  $H_{\text{et}}^1(\text{Spec}(A), \mathbf{M}) = 0$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1 for  $q > 1$ :* We assume by induction that Lemma 1 holds for  $q - 1$ , and we shall prove it for  $q$ .

Suppose  $\xi \in H_{\text{et}}^q(Z, \mathbf{M})$ . Every étale morphism  $V \rightarrow Z$  extends locally to a separated étale morphism  $V' \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ . We recall

LEMMA 3: (cf. [6], IV, prop. 18.10.8). *If  $V \rightarrow Z$  is a separated étale morphism with  $Z$  integral normal with generic point  $\eta$ , then  $V$  is isomorphic as a  $Z$ -scheme to a disjoint union of open subschemes of the normalizations of  $Z$  in finite field extensions of  $k(\eta)$ .*

(This follows from “Zariski’s main theorem” and the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_V$  is integrally closed in  $\mathcal{O}_V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} k(\eta)$ .)

In our case Lemma 3 implies that  $e'$  is a local homeomorphism, so  $e$  is a local homeomorphism, and we can think of  $V$  as a Zariski sheaf on  $Z$ . More precisely, the étale site of  $Z$  is equivalent (as a category with topology) to the Zariski topos of  $Z$ . From this one shows that the Zariski and étale sites of  $Z$  give equivalent toposes, so  $H_{\text{Zar}}^q(Z, \mathbf{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{et}}^q(Z, \mathbf{M})$ . In particular,  $\xi$  is trivial locally for the Zariski topology on  $Z$ , so the subset

$$S = \{s \in A \mid \xi|_{Z_s} = 0\} \quad [\text{where } Z_s = Z - V(s)]$$

satisfies  $A = A \cdot S$ . We want to show that  $S$  is an ideal. (This will give  $S = A$ , so  $1 \in S$ , i.e.,  $\xi = 0$ .) As  $S$  is easily seen to be closed under multiplication by elements of  $A$ , it remains to show that if  $s, t \in S$  then  $s + t \in S$ .

We may assume  $s + t \neq 0$ , so replacing  $A$  by  $A[1/(s + t)]$  we reduce to show that if  $s + t \in A^*$  and  $\xi|_{Z_s} = 0$  and  $\xi|_{Z_t} = 0$ , then  $\xi = 0$ . Notice  $Z = Z_s \cup Z_t$ , so we have a Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence (cf. [7], III, 2.24)

$$(3.2) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^{q-1}(Z_s \cap Z_t, \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^q(Z, \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^q(Z_s, \mathbf{M}) \oplus H_{\text{et}}^q H_{\text{et}}^q(Z_t, \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \dots$$



which gives  $\xi \in \text{Im}(\delta)$ . But the term  $H^{q-1}(Z_s \cap Z_t, M)$  vanishes by applying (if  $s, t \neq 0$ ) the induction hypothesis of Lemma 1 to the ring  $A[1/st]$  and the pull-back of  $Z$  to its spectrum.

Query: Does Lemma 1 hold for any affine scheme  $Z$  s.t. any monic  $f \in \mathcal{O}(Z)[t]$  of  $\text{deg} > 0$  has a root?

Connectivity properties: Let  $A$  be an absolutely integrally closed domain,  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  closed subsets of  $\text{Spec}(A)$ ,  $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}/n$ -torsors on  $Z$  which are trivial on both  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are classified by the cokernel of the restriction map

$$r : H^0(Z_1, \mathbb{Z}/n) \oplus H^0(Z_2, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H^0(Z_1 \cap Z_2, \mathbb{Z}/n),$$

so by Lemma 1  $r$  is surjective (for  $n \neq 0$ ). This can fail for coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In particular one gets the corollary that the intersection of two connected closed subsets of  $\text{Spec}(A)$  is connected or empty (which occurred in more special cases in Artin [1, §1]).

**4. Remarks on non-abelian cases**

4.1 A sheaf of groups  $G$  on a site  $S$  is called ind-finite iff  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G^n$  is equal to its subsheaf  $(G^n)_f$  consisting of the  $n$ -tuples of local sections of  $G$  which generate locally a finite subgroup of  $G(U)$ .\* One checks that the formation of  $(G^n)_f$  commutes with applying  $\phi^*$  for a morphism of topoi. Hence if  $(P_i \rightarrow \tilde{S})$  is a conservative family of points, then  $G$  is ind-finite iff all the stalks  $G_{P_i}$  are locally finite.

If  $X$  is a scheme and  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow X_{\text{et}}$  is a stack (champ in the sense of [5], ch. II), then  $\mathcal{C}$  is called ind-finite ([5], ch. VII, 2.2.1) iff for every local section  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_U$  (= the category  $\pi^{-1}(U)$ ), the sheaf  $\mathbf{Aut}(\sigma)$  on  $U_{\text{et}}$  is ind-finite.

4.2 Let  $(X, X_0)$  be an affine Hensel pair.

Remark 2: (i)  $\rho_0^F$  is bijective for any sheaf of sets  $F$  on  $X_{\text{et}}$ . (This strengthens (c).)

(ii)  $\rho_1^F$  is bijective for any ind-finite sheaf of groups  $F$  on  $X_{\text{et}}$ .

Proof: (i) Use condition (b) above and the implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) in ([2], XII, prop. 6.5 (i)).

---

\* If  $S$  is topologically generated by its quasi-compact objects, the definition of ([2], IX, 1.5) can be used.

(ii) Use Lemma 1.1 applied to finite  $A$ -algebras and the implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) in ([2], XII, prop. 6.5(ii)).

Remark 2 can be strengthened to

**THEOREM 1':** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an ind-finite stack on  $X_{\text{et}}$ , then the functor from cartesian sections of  $\mathcal{C}$  to cartesian sections of  $i^*\mathcal{C}$  is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof:* This follows from Lemma 1.1 for finite  $A$ -algebras, Remark 2(i), and the following:

**PROPOSITION 1:** *If  $X_0 \rightarrow X$  is a closed immersion of coherent schemes, then conditions (B) and (C) of ([5], VII, §2.2.11) imply condition (E) of (ibid.).*

Proposition 1 is proved in (ibid.) in the noetherian case. It holds more generally for every morphism of coherent algebraic spaces, and can be proved by extending to gerbes the techniques of ([2], IX, XII) for sheaves of groups.

*Remark 3:* (cf. [2], XII, 6.5(i)). The functor  $T$  of Theorem 1' is fully faithful for any stack  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow X_{\text{et}}$ ; this follows by applying Remark 2(i) to the sheaves  $\mathbf{Hom}(\sigma, \tau)$ , where  $\sigma, \tau$  are cartesian sections of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Specializing to the case  $\mathcal{C} =$  (the stack of  $F$ -torsors),  $F$  being any sheaf of groups on  $X_{\text{et}}$ , this gives that  $\rho_1^F$  is injective.

**5. Proper schemes**

By the proper base change theorem ([2], XII, cor. 5.5), if  $\mathcal{O}$  is a henselian local ring with residue field  $k$  and  $Y$  is a proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -scheme, then  $(Y, Y \otimes k)$  satisfies the property of Theorem 1. Combining Theorems 1 and 1' with the proper base change theorem, we obtain:

**COROLLARY 1:** *If  $(A, I)$  is a henselian pair,  $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Spec}(A)$  a proper morphism, and  $Y_0 = V(I\mathcal{O}_Y)$ , then  $(Y, Y_0)$  satisfies the property of Theorems 1 and 1'.*

*Proof of Corollary 1:* (i) For abelian cohomology: Consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad i \quad} & Y \\
 \pi_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
 \text{Spec}(A/I) & \xrightarrow{\quad j \quad} & \text{Spec}(A).
 \end{array}$$

If  $F$  is a torsion abelian sheaf on  $Y_{\text{et}}$  and  $F_0 = i^*F$ , then we have a morphism between the Leray spectral sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_2^{pq} = H^p(\text{Spec}A, R^q\pi_*F) & \Rightarrow & H^{p+q}(Y_{\text{et}}, F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 'E_2^{pq} = H^p(\text{Spec}A/I, R^q\pi_{0*}F_0) & \Rightarrow & H^{p+q}(Y_{0\text{et}}, F_0). \end{array}$$

By proper base change ([2], XII, 5.1)  $j^*R^q\pi_*F \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q\pi_{0*}F_0$ , and  $R^q\pi_*F$  is a torsion sheaf since  $R^q\pi_*$  commutes with filtered direct limits (using [2], VII, 3.3). So by Theorem 1,  $E_2^{pq} \xrightarrow{\sim} 'E_2^{pq}$ , and hence the morphism of abutements is an isomorphism.

(ii) For non-abelian cohomology: We use the operations  $f_*$  and  $f^*$  on stacks ([5], ch. II, 3) relative to a morphism  $f$  of toposes. If  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$  is a functor and  $s \in \text{Ob}(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_s$  denotes the category  $\pi^{-1}(s)$ . If  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Y_{\text{et}}$  is an ind-finite stack then the functor  $\mathcal{C}_Y \rightarrow (i^*\mathcal{C})_{Y_0}$  which is to be shown an equivalence of categories identified with the composition

$$(\pi_*\mathcal{C})_X \xrightarrow{\text{“restriction”}} (j^*\pi_*\mathcal{C})_{X_0} \xrightarrow{b_{X_0}} (\pi_{0*}i^*\mathcal{C})_{X_0}.$$

The first arrow is an equivalence of categories by Theorem 1', and the second arrow is an equivalence since the base change morphism  $b : j^*\pi_*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \pi_{0*}i^*\mathcal{C}$  is an equivalence (by proper base change for stacks ([5], VII, 2.2.2), in which the noetherianity hypothesis can be omitted in view of Proposition 1).

### References

- [1] M. Artin, *On the Joins of Hensel Rings*, Adv. in Math. **7** (1971), 282–296.
- [2] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck and J.L. Verdier, *Théorie des Topos et Cohomologie Étale des Schémas (SGA4)*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vols. 289, 270, 305, 1972–1973.
- [3] R. Elkik, *Equations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super. **6** (1973), 553–607.
- [4] K. Fujiwara, *Theory of tubular neighborhoods in étale topology*, preprint, 1992.
- [5] J. Giraud, *Cohomologie non abélienne*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [6] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Elements de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES, Vols 4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32, 1960–1967.
- [7] J.S. Milne, *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton University Press, 1980.
- [8] M. Raynaud, *Anneaux locaux henséliens*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 169, 1970.